Addenda for Morrison Creek Flood Mitigation and Storage Assessment

RFQ No. 6E1-001-9-26

Prepared October 22, 2026

These addenda modify the RFQ issued October 14, 2026, and provides the County's responses to questions received about the RFQ. Page references identify where edits were made in the 30-page RFQ. Find the RFQ, addenda, and responses to questions here on the Pacific County website or at www.pcema.info.

10/22/2026

1 Questions due on the cover

Page 1 | *Solicitation information table* | *Questions due*

Existing: October 23, 2026

Replaced with: October 26, 2026

2 Questions and addenda

Page 12 | *Section 12.2* | *Entire paragraph replaced*

Existing: Written questions must be received by October 23, 2026. County responses and any changes will be issued by written addendum no later than October 26, 2026 and posted at www.pcema.info. Oral explanations are not binding. Respondents are responsible for monitoring the published location and acknowledging all addenda.

Replaced with: Written questions must be received by **October 26, 2026**. County responses and any changes will be issued by written addendum **and posted on October 28, 2026**. **Find the RFQ, addenda, and responses to questions here on the Pacific County website or at www.pcema.info.** Oral explanations are not binding. Respondents are responsible for monitoring **these published locations** and acknowledging all addenda.

3 Procurement and project schedule

Page 17 | *Appendix B* | *Amended cells and rows*

6a Authorization and issuance

Notes - Existing: BOCC authorization anticipated October 13; public issuance and first publication October 14, 2026.

Notes - Replaced with: BOCC authorization October 13; RFQ issued October 14, 2026 and posted on the County website.

6b Questions due

Date or window - Existing: October 23, 2026

Date or window - Replaced with: October 26, 2026

6c County response and final addendum

Date or window - Existing: No later than October 26, 2026

Date or window - Replaced with: October 28, 2026

Notes - Existing: County posts written responses and any final addendum.

Notes - Replaced with: County posts written responses and any final addendum **at the locations identified in Section 12.2**.

6d Evaluation

Date or window - Existing: November 3-5, 2026 (anticipated)

Date or window - Replaced with: November 4-5, 2026

6e Scope and fee negotiation

Date or window - Existing: Immediately following selection approval; November 10 target

Date or window - Replaced with: Immediately following selection approval; **contract package due November 18, 2026**

6f Contract approval and authorization to proceed

The single combined row is replaced with two rows to distinguish Board of County Commissioners approval from agreement execution and written notice to proceed.

Existing milestone: Consultant agreement / notice to proceed and BOCC approval

Existing date or window: November 12, 2026 (target; exact date to be confirmed)

Existing notes: Following successful negotiation and BOCC approval; no work before written authorization.

Replaced with:

Milestone	Date or window	Notes
BOCC consideration and approval	**November 24, 2026 (target)**	**Following successful scope and fee negotiation and submission of the contract package by November 18.**
Consultant agreement / notice to proceed	**After BOCC approval and agreement execution; exact date to be confirmed**	**No work before written authorization.**

10/20/2026

Response to question received via email on 10/20/2026

Question 1: Surveyor identification at SOQ submission

Question: Section 10 requires professional licenses for proposed survey work, while Section 5 indicates that survey support will depend on confirmed data gaps and the negotiated scope. Could you please clarify whether a Washington-licensed professional land surveyor must be identified on the team at the time of SOQ submission, or whether one may be added, subject to County approval, if survey services are determined necessary during scope refinement or project execution?

County response: Since survey support is dependent on data gaps and negotiated scope, a Washington-licensed professional land surveyor does not have to be identified and on the team at the time of SOQ submission; a licensed surveyor may be added to the team if survey services are determined necessary during scope refinement or project execution. However, given the short timeline of this project, we advise all team members to either be in place at the time of SOQ submission or on standby for project participation, to ensure their participation does not cause delays.

The response above is reproduced verbatim from the email exchange.